

2014 Educator Preparation Institution Performance Score

Presentation:

Joseph Martineau

Leah Breen

August 12, 2014

Title II Requirements

- Federal mandate: Higher Education Act (HEA), Title II, Section 208
- Identify and assist teacher preparation programs not performing at a satisfactory level
- Annual list:
 - “Low Performing” Status
 - “At Risk” of low-performing status

2014 Educator Preparation Institution (EPI) Performance Score

- Revised 2014 EPI performance metrics presented to State Board of Education (SBE) in August 2013
 - Preserve and strengthen multiple measures methodology
 - Better alignment to current priorities and policy direction
 - Consider feedback from stakeholders
 - Leverage new ways to gather more robust data

2014 EPI Performance Score Goals


- Content and pedagogy (50% of score composite)
- Demonstrate continuous improvement related to MDE's priorities (20%)
- Effectiveness in the classroom (30%)

2014 EPI Performance Score Data Sources


- Michigan Test for Teacher Certification (MTTC) three-year aggregate pass rates (content areas only)
- Survey data
 - Candidates
 - EPI Candidate Supervisors
- Educator Evaluation data

2014 EPI Performance Score

EPI Supervisor Survey Results



2014 EPI Performance Score Candidate Survey Results




2014 EPI Performance Score Educator Evaluation Results

- First three years of teaching within five years from certification; only two years of effectiveness labels
- N size ranged from 2 – 1555
- Effectiveness range: 76-100% effective or highly effective
- Mean: 94%


MTTC Results

High Performing Content Areas



2014 EPI Performance Score MTTC Results

“Low” Performing Content Areas



2014 EPI Performance Score Standard Setting Process

- K-12 Educators and EPI Representatives
- Data review with EPIs' identities masked
- Technical Manual

Appendix A

2014 EPI Performance Score Standard Setting Process (cont.)

- Cut score recommendations for “At-Risk” and “Low Performing”
- EPIs identified as “At- Risk”
 - Concordia
 - Marygrove
 - Rochester

2014 Individual Score Reports


2014 Educator Preparation Institution (EPI) Score Report



SAMPLE EPI

This is the 2014 Educator Preparation Institution (EPI) Performance Score Report for **Sample EPI**. On this side, the colored vertical bars show the performance scores for the Michigan Tests for Certification 3-year passing percentages (abbreviated MTTC), the 2012-2013 Teacher Candidate and Candidate Supervisor Survey efficacy rates (abbreviated SURV), and the points attributed to the Educator Effectiveness Labels earned by the EPI (abbreviated EFF). These scores contribute to the calculation of the Overall Score. An overall cut score of 84 is the lowest score needed for satisfactory performance for this year's report.

On the reverse side are brief summaries about how data for these component scores were collected and scored, and how the overall scores were calculated.



Appendix B

2014 Performance Categories

- One “cut” instead of two
- Opportunity to revise the corrective action system to:
 - Reduce volatility
 - Provide more time for support
 - Progression over time

Appendix C

2014 Corrective Action Status

EPI CATEGORY STATUS CHANGES FOR CURRENT YEAR

Last Updated 7-7-14

KEY:  Closed  Satisfactory  At Risk  Low Performing

EPI	With Proposed Phase Number			
	2011	2012	2013	2014
BAKER			 2	 1
CONCORDIA			 2	 3
FSU			 2	 1
LSSU			 1	 0
MARYGROVE			 0	 1
ROCHESTER			 4	 3
UM-FLINT			 4	 3

2014 Corrective Action

- 2014 responsibilities will be individualized to meet the needs of the MDE and EPI
- 2015 anticipate a “menu of choices” rather than previously prescribed actions

2015 EPI Performance Score

- Year-out survey
- K-12 Supervising teacher survey
- Placement rate information
- Shortage areas